

UBCTP 92-17
May 1992

ABOUT SOME EXACT SOLUTIONS FOR $2 + 1$ GRAVITY COUPLED TO GAUGE FIELDS

Ian I. Kogan ^{1, 2}

Department of Physics, University of British Columbia
Vancouver, B.C., Canada V6T1Z1

Abstract

Some exact static solutions for Einstein gravity in $2 + 1$ dimensions coupled to abelian gauge field are discussed, where the invariant interval is of the form: $ds^2 = N^2(r)dt^2 - dr^2 - C^2(r)d\theta^2$. Some of these solutions are three-dimensional analogs of the Schwarzschild black holes. The metrics in the regions inside and outside the horizon are connected by the changing of the Planck mass sign.

¹ On leave of ITEP, Moscow, USSR.

²This work is supported in part by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada

It is known that Einstein gravity in 2+1 dimensions has no local degrees of freedom and outside the matter the space-time is flat. However, by coupling gravity to the matter one can get non-trivial space-times. For example, by coupling point particles to gravity one gets static space-time with conical singularities [1],[2]. Exact non-static solutions in the case of point particles with spin are also known [2]. In all these cases the space-time is flat outside the point particles.

In this paper we shall consider some exact non-flat solutions for the 2 + 1 Einstein gravity coupled to abelian topologically massive gauge field [3] with action

$$S = \int d^3x \left\{ \frac{1}{\kappa} \sqrt{-g} R + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-g} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - m \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} F_{\mu\nu} A_\lambda + \sqrt{-g} J^\mu A_\mu \right\} \quad (1)$$

where J^μ is the covariantly conserved current: $D_\mu J^\mu = 0$. The coupled Einstein-Maxwell equations are

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R &= \kappa T_{\mu\nu} \\ \partial_\nu (\sqrt{-g} F^{\nu\sigma}) + m \epsilon^{\sigma\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} &= \sqrt{-g} J^\sigma \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where the stress-energy tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ does not depend on the gauge Chern-Simons term and equals to

$$T_{\mu\nu} = -F_{\mu\rho} F_\nu^\rho + \frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} F_{\lambda\sigma} F^{\lambda\sigma} \quad (3)$$

We shall looking for solutions which depend only on radial coordinate r only, then the metric can be represented as

$$ds^2 = N^2(r) dt^2 - dr^2 - C^2(r) d\theta^2 \quad (4)$$

and only non-zero $F_{\mu\nu}$ components are electric $F_{0r} = E(r)$ and magnetic $F_{r\theta} = H(r)$ fields. It is easy to see that from $D_\mu J^\mu = 0$ one gets $J^r = 0$. After simple calculations one gets ($X' = dX/dr$):

$$\begin{aligned} R_{00} &= NN'' + NN' \frac{C'}{C} = \kappa \frac{N^2}{C^2} H^2 \\ R_{rr} &= -\frac{N''}{N} - \frac{C''}{C} = 0 \\ R_{\theta\theta} &= -CC'' - CC' \frac{N'}{N} = \kappa \frac{C^2}{N^2} E^2 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dr}\left(\frac{C}{N}E\right) + mH &= CNJ^0 \\ \frac{d}{dr}\left(\frac{N}{C}H\right) + mE &= CNJ^\theta\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

It is easy to see from (6), (6) that Einstein-Maxwell equations are symmetric under the transformation

$$N \leftrightarrow C, \quad E \leftrightarrow H, \quad J^\theta \leftrightarrow J^0, \quad \kappa \rightarrow -\kappa\tag{7}$$

which is easy to understand because of the formal symmetry between θ and it in (4).

However, one can put $J^\theta = 0$ and get two solutions with $E \sim N/C$, $H = 0$ and $H \sim C/N$, $E = 0$ considering point-like charge in pure Maxwell theory, i.e. Chern-Simons mass term is zero, $m = 0$ or uniform charge distribution in the topologically massive gauge theory with non-zero Chern-Simons term $m \neq 0$. Then we see that the abovementioned duality can be realised not as duality between time and angular components of the current in the same theory, but as a duality between point-like charge distribution in pure Maxwell theory and uniform charge distribution in topologically massive gauge theory.

Let us consider the first case $m = 0$. It is easy to see from (6) that outside the source J^0 one gets

$$E = \frac{N}{C}Q, \quad H = 0\tag{8}$$

with constant Q . For point-like charge $J^0 = Q(CN)^{-1}\delta(r)$ and Q is a charge. However one can get (8) as a solution without sources if the resulting space-time manifold will be free of singularities.

In the second case $m \neq 0$ one can easily get the solution

$$H = \frac{C}{N}Q, \quad E = 0\tag{9}$$

where now $J^0 = mQ/N^2$, i.e. $J_0 = N^2 J^0 = mQ = \text{const}$ which corresponds to the uniform distribution of the charge.

Now let us consider the solutions of (6) in electric case. Einstein equations take the form:

$$\begin{aligned} NN'' + NN' \frac{C'}{C} &= 0 \\ \frac{N''}{N} + \frac{C''}{C} &= 0 \\ -CC'' - CC' \frac{N'}{N} &= \kappa Q^2 \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

It is easy to see that from (10) one gets

$$\begin{aligned} 2CC'' &= -\kappa Q^2 \\ \frac{N'}{N} &= \frac{C''}{C'} \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

From the second equation we get $N \sim C'$ and the first equation has the first integral

$$(C')^2 + \kappa Q^2 \ln \frac{C}{C_0} = 0 \tag{12}$$

where C_0 is the integration constant which we shall put equal to 1 after the rescaling of θ . Thus the integration of the Einstein equations is reduced to the integration of the particle's movement in the logarithmic potential. In the case of positive Planck constant $\kappa > 0$ the movement is restricted in the region $(0, 1)$ (Fig.1), in the case of the negative $\kappa < 0$ ³ $C(r)$ takes the value in the interval $(1, \infty)$ (Fig.2).

Let us consider the case $\kappa > 0$. The first integral (12) takes the form

$$\left(\frac{dC}{d\tau}\right)^2 + \ln C = 0, \quad \tau = Q\sqrt{\kappa}r \tag{13}$$

It is convenient to substitute $C = \exp(-F^2)$, then one gets

$$\tau(F) = 2 \int_0^F dF e^{-F^2} \tag{14}$$

³let us note that it is this case which corresponds to the low-energy limit of the topologically massive gravity [3]

The qualitative behavior $C(r)$ is drawn on Fig.3 - we get two singular points at $r = r_{\pm} = \pm\tau(\infty)/Q\sqrt{\kappa} = \pm(1/Q)\sqrt{\pi/\kappa}$. It is easy to get asymptotics near $r = 0$ and $r_{\pm}$:

$$\begin{aligned} C(r) &= \exp\left[-\frac{Q^2\kappa}{4}r^2 + O(r^4)\right], \quad r \rightarrow 0; \\ C(r) &= Q\sqrt{\kappa}\epsilon(-\ln Q\sqrt{\kappa}\epsilon)^{1/2}, \quad \epsilon = r_+ - r, \quad r - r_- \rightarrow 0; \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

One can consider C itself as a radius, then the angular part has normal form $C^2 d\theta^2$, but the radial part becomes $dr^2 \sim dC^2 / \ln(1/C)$. Let us note that $r = 0$ is the extremal point for $C(r)$ and thus $N \sim C' = 0$ at this point, i.e. one can think that there is a horizon. Near the singular points N has logarithmic singularity. Thus, in the positive κ case we get the closed universe - contrary to the $3 + 1$ case where the energy density is small at large distances (like $1/r^4$ in the Reissner-Nordstrom black hole) and space-time becomes asymptotically flat in the $2 + 1$ case $E^2 \sim 1/r^2$ in flat space and the total energy $\int r dr E^2$ diverges at large r . This is the simple explanation why at some finite r we get the second singularity - electric field energy is large enough to close the space.

Let us consider now case $\kappa < 0$. In this case one gets

$$\left(\frac{dC}{d\tau}\right)^2 - \ln C = 0, \quad \tau = Q\sqrt{|\kappa|}r \quad (16)$$

Substituting $C = \exp(F^2)$, one gets

$$\tau(F) = 2 \int_0^F dF e^{F^2} \quad (17)$$

The qualitative behavior $C(r)$ is drawn on Fig.4. Now we get the regular solution without singularity, because C never reach zero. It is easy to get asymptotics near $r = 0$ and $\pm\infty$:

$$\begin{aligned} C(r) &= \exp\left[\frac{Q^2|\kappa|}{4}r^2 + O(r^4)\right], \quad r \rightarrow 0; \\ C(r) &= Q\sqrt{|\kappa|}|r|(\ln Q\sqrt{|\kappa|}|r|)^{1/2}, \quad r \rightarrow \pm\infty; \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

We see that the space is not asymptotically flat - the same problem with gauge field energy which is not enough small at large distances, but now due

to negative κ it makes space more "open" than the flat space. Let us note that at $N \sim C' \sim r$ and it means that there is a horizon at $r = 0$. The negative r region is unphysical - in some sense the correct radial coordinate is r^2 , not r and the metric we got describes the region $r > 0$ outside the horizon.

It is easy to see that in both cases the space-time at $r > 0$ is not geodesically complete, which is the necessary condition for $r = 0$ to be a horizon. To demonstrate it let us consider the equation for geodesic line $r = r(s), t = t(s), \theta = const$:

$$\frac{d^2 x^\mu}{ds^2} + \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\mu \frac{dx^\nu}{ds} \frac{dx^\rho}{ds} = 0 \quad (19)$$

For our metric the only nonzero Γ with r and t indices are

$$\Gamma_{tr}^t = \frac{N'}{N}, \quad \Gamma_{tt}^r = NN' \quad (20)$$

and we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 r}{ds^2} + NN' \left(\frac{dt}{ds} \right)^2 &= 0 \\ \frac{d^2 t}{ds^2} + \frac{N'}{N} \frac{dt}{ds} \frac{dr}{ds} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Using the fact that $N'(dr/ds) = dN/ds$ one gets from the second equation $N(dt/ds) = const$, then the first equation can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 r}{ds^2} + \frac{d \ln N}{dr} &= 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{dr}{ds} \right)^2 + \ln N &= const \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

and we get the equation of motion in potential $\ln N$. One can fix the normalization of N as $N = C'/M$, where $M = Q\sqrt{|\kappa|}$ (any other normalization can be obtained from this one by the rescaling of time t), then near the horizon $r = 0$ one gets $N = Mr/2$ (in the case of positive κ when $C' < 0$ near horizon we simply change the sign of N to make it positive). Thus we again have the problem of the logarithmic potential as in the case of positive κ (see Fig.1), but now not for the metric itself, but for the radial

coordinate of the free moving particle. We know that the particle can reach the origin in the finite proper time s and this means that $r = 0$ is indeed horison - the free falling observer can reach it in a finite proper time, but from the point of view of the external observer at some fixed $r > 0$ this process takes infinite time. It is easy to write the exact expression for the geodesic line $dr/dt = \text{const} N \sqrt{-2 \ln(N/N_0)}$, where N_0 is some constant. Using $N = Mr/2$ near the horison we get $r = c_1 \exp(-c_2 t^2)$ and $r \rightarrow 0$ only at $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Before discussing the metric inside the horison let us consider the Euclidean version of this metric

$$ds^2 = N^2 dt^2 + dr^2 + C^2 dr^2 \quad (23)$$

Near the horison $N = Mr/2$ and we see that imaginary time t becomes angular variable $t = (2/M)\phi$ ⁴ with period $4\pi/M$ in a complete analogy with the ordinary four-dimensional Euclidean Schwarzschild metric [4]. Then horison becomes the regular point and we obtain the regular 3-dimensional manifold without singularities in the case $\kappa < 0$. For positive κ there is naked singularity at $r = r_+$.

It is convenient to consider new (Kruskal) variables

$$U = e^{\rho+i\phi}, \quad \bar{U} = e^{\rho-i\phi} \quad (24)$$

where new radial coordinate ρ is defined as

$$\frac{dr}{d\rho} = \frac{2}{M} N = \frac{2}{M^2} \frac{dC}{dr} \quad (25)$$

Then the metric takes the form ($C' = dC/dr$)

$$ds^2 = \frac{4C'^2}{M^4 U \bar{U}} dU d\bar{U} + C^2 d\theta^2 \quad (26)$$

Using (13), (16) it easy to see that $\frac{4C'^2}{M^4} = 4F^2/M^2$ where F was defined as $C = \exp(-F^2)$ for $\kappa > 0$ and $C = \exp(F^2)$ for $\kappa < 0$ Unfortunately we can

⁴let us note that because $C \neq 0$ for negative κ our original angular variable θ may be indeed noncompact variable - one get then periodicity in θ by factorising universal covering space by arbitrary period

not obtain the explicit function $F = F(U\bar{U})$, however one can easily find the asymptotics at small and large $|U|$.

Let us first consider negative κ , where $0 < U\bar{U} < \infty$. Using (25) one can get $C'' = (M^2/2)(dC/d\rho)$ and from (16) we get

$$\frac{\exp(F^2)}{F} \frac{dF}{d\rho} = 1 \quad (27)$$

After some calculations one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} 4F^2/M^2 &= \frac{4}{M^2} e^{2\rho} = \frac{4}{M^2} U\bar{U}, \quad U\bar{U} << 1 \\ 4F^2/M^2 &= \frac{4}{M^2} (\ln \ln U\bar{U} + \ln \ln \ln U\bar{U}), \quad , \quad U\bar{U} >> 1 \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

The Euclidian metric

$$ds^2 = \frac{4F^2(U\bar{U})}{M^2 U\bar{U}} dU d\bar{U} + e^{2F^2} d\theta^2 \quad (29)$$

is regular at $U\bar{U} = 0$

Now let us consider the positive κ . Because now $C' < 0$ we take (25) with negative sign and then can rewrite (13) as

$$\frac{\exp(-F^2)}{F} \frac{dF}{d\rho} = 1 \quad (30)$$

in an analogy with equation (27). At small $|U|$ one gets the same F as in the case $\kappa < 0$, but now there is singularity at some finite $U\bar{U} = e^A$, where the numerical value of A is

$$A = 2 \int_1^\infty \frac{e^{-x^2}}{x} dx \quad (31)$$

The Euclidian metric for positive κ is

$$ds^2 = \frac{4F^2(U\bar{U})}{M^2 U\bar{U}} dU d\bar{U} + e^{-2F^2} d\theta^2 \quad (32)$$

and is singular at $U\bar{U} = e^A$

Now let us analytically continue back to Minkowski space-time $t \rightarrow it$, $\phi \rightarrow i\phi$. Instead of complex conjugate U and $\bar{U}$ one gets real light-cone coordinates U and V :

$$U = e^{\rho-\phi}, \quad V = e^{\rho+\phi} \quad U\bar{U} \rightarrow UV \quad (33)$$

Now, contrary to Euclidian space, UV may be negative as well as positive and negative UV describes the region inside the horison - in complete analogy with Schwarzschild black holes in Kruskal coordinates. To get the metric inside the horison, i.e. at negative UV let us consider equation connecting F and UV which can be obtained from (see (27), (30)) by substituting $U\bar{U}$ to UV in the region where $UV > 0$:

$$\frac{\exp(\mp F^2)}{F^2} dF^2 = \frac{1}{UV} d(UV) \quad (34)$$

where $-$ corresponds to positive κ and $+$ for negative one. This equation can be easily continued to the negative UV after changing the sign of $F^2 \rightarrow -F^2$. But this procedure simply exchange our two solutions - if one starts from some κ (positive or negative) and gets metric outside the horison $UV > 0$ (we write $-ds^2$ to restore our initial signature $(+,-,-)$)

$$-ds^2 = \frac{4F^2(UV)}{M^2 UV} dUdV + e^{\pm F^2} d\theta^2 \quad (35)$$

the same metric describes the space-time inside the horison $UV < 0$, but with F corresponding now to opposite κ (negative or positive).

Thus Minkowski solution describes the space-time with the horisons at $UV = 0$ and singularities at positive (negative) $UV = e^A$ in the case of positive (negative) Planck mass. The Penrose diagram is as in the case of usual black holes (see Fig. 5, where $\kappa < 0$) and the only difference from the Schwarzschild case is that space-time is not asymptotically flat. Let us note that the Planck mass sign defines the orientation of the Penrose diagram and $\kappa \rightarrow -\kappa$ corresponds to the $\pi/2$ rotation of the Penrose diagram.

Finally let us discuss the magnetic case - as we know it corresponds to the exchange between t and θ ($C \leftrightarrow N$) and changing the sign for κ . Thus, for positive κ with $E = 0, H \neq 0$ one gets $C \sim N'$ and N approaches some constant at $r = 0$, when $C \sim r$. This means that $r = 0$ is the regular point and θ is the usual angle. The space time has no horisons and is open, but not

asymptotically flat. In the case of negative κ one gets again the regular point at zero, but at some finite r C is singular - our space time has a singularity at finite radius. More detailed analysis of this situation can be done in a complete analogy with the electric case - let us note the Euclidian versions are the same as in electric case after obvious exchange $t \leftrightarrow \theta$, but Minkowski space-times are different - there are no horizons, instead we have regular point $r = 0$ and θ must be correct angular variable, contrary to electric case, where θ was not restricted to be compact.

In conclusion we would like to discuss some open problems. It will be interesting to understand if it is possible to obtain asymptotically flat solutions, using for example, massive gauge fields. The second important problem is to find the solution with point-like charge in the topologically massive gauge theory - in this case one immediately gets $dt d\theta$ component for metric tensor and it is not evident if the full Einstein-Maxwell system of equations can be integrated explicitly. And finally it will be extremely interesting to look for these solutions in the topologically massive gravity - it will definitely change the behaviour at small distances and it is unclear if a horizon at $r = 0$ will survive. In this case the $dt d\theta$ component of the metric tensor will also appear.

Acknowledgments

I am grateful to G.Semenoff and N.Weiss for interesting discussions and hospitality at the University of British Columbia. It is a pleasure to acknowledge helpful discussions with S.Carlip and W.Unruh.

References

- [1] A.Staruszkiewicz, Acta Phys.Pol. **24**, (1963), 734
- [2] S.Deser, R. Jackiw and G. 't Hooft, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **152** (1984), 220
- [3] S.Deser, R. Jackiw and S.Templeton, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **140** (1982), 372
- [4] J. Hartle and S.Hawking, Phys.Rev. **D 13**, (1976), 2188.